UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,227	09/20/2005	Peter Graham Richardson	05-769	7674
	7590 08/12/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKE	ER DRIVE	LIU, HARRY K		
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
RICHARDSON ET AL.		
Art Unit		
3662		
	RICHARDSON ET	

	HARRY LIU	3662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the status of the sta	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 May 2008</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or at Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT »);	ΓE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation about (2000 27 CFR 4 144)	corresponding number of finally reje		ie issues for				
NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil ided below or appended.	l be entered and an e.	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•					
12. □ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. □ Other:	, , , , ,						
/Thomas H. Tarcza/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3662							

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

New Issues

The ameded "sampler" found in claim1, line 2 raises new issue of implying a device for sampling input signal.

This office action is used to replace previous advisory action issued on 8/4/2008.